

tained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the curative and therapeutic effects claimed.

On March 31, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the products be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9315. Misbranding of Savatan. U. S. * * * v. 12 Packages of * * * Savatan. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 13428. I. S. No. 10033-t. S. No. W-718.)

On or about September 2, 1920, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 12 packages of Savatan, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Los Angeles, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the S. Pfeiffer Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo., on or about April 27, 1920, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the contents of the capsules consisted essentially of oils of tansy and mint, and green apiol.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that it was labeled in part on the circulars as follows, “* * * Begin by taking one Savatan * * * Four or five days before the expected appearance of the menstrual flow * * *,” which statements were false and fraudulent in that said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the curative and therapeutic effects claimed.

On April 6, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9316. Misbranding of Parto-Glory. U. S. * * * v. 4 Dozen Bottles of * * * Parto-Glory. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 13459. I. S. No. 10005-t. S. No. W-665.)

On or about September 2, 1920, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 4 dozen bottles of Parto-Glory, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Los Angeles, Calif., consigned by the Partola Distributing Co., New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about September 11, 1919, and transported from the State of New York into the State of California, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of an aqueous solution containing iron, strychnine, quinine, and potassium bromid.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded in that it was labeled in part as follows, (bottle) “* * * Tonic for The Nerves,” (can) “* * * For The Nerves * * * For Every Form Of Nervous Affliction. * * * Used With Remarkable Success, * * * Wherever Nerves Have Been Affected, Also In Afflictions Due Directly To Weakened Nerves, Such As: Run Down Condition, Nervous Prostration, Melancholia, Brain Fag, Poor Memory, Shaky Hands Or Knees, Tired Feeling, * * * Nervous Dyspepsia, Neuralgia, Effects Of Tobacco Or Alcohol * * *,” (circular) “The Great Upbuilder Of The Nervous System